## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS NORTHERN DIVISION

CHRISTOPHER EVERETT ADC #152664

**PLAINTIFF** 

 $\mathbf{v}.$ 

No. 3:20-cv-220-DPM-JJV

SHAWN RICHARD, Mental Health, ADC; PIERCE, Assistant Warden, ADC; and FAUST, Warden, ADC

**DEFENDANTS** 

## **ORDER**

- 1. Everett's sixth motion to appoint counsel, *Doc.* 64, is denied for the reasons the previous motions were denied. *Doc.* 9, 35, 37, 43 & 51. Neither the facts nor the claims are complex enough to warrant appointed counsel; and Everett has ably represented himself in this case. *Plummer v. Grimes*, 87 F.3d 1032, 1033 (8th Cir. 1996).
- **2.** On *de novo* review, the Court adopts Magistrate Judge Volpe's recommendation, *Doc. 63*, and overrules Everett's objections, *Doc. 66*. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3). Everett hasn't met proof with proof to show that the Defendants were deliberately indifferent to his serious medical needs. The motions for summary judgment, *Doc. 55 & 58*, are therefore granted. Everett's deliberate indifference claims against Richard, Pierce, and Faust will be dismissed with prejudice.
- **3.** Everett's motion for an examination by an outside psychologist to prove damages, *Doc. 65*, is denied without prejudice as moot.

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

United States District Judge

21 June 2021